(52% versus 48%), 3-year EFS was the same in both arms (53%) in salvage treatment. Individual treatment with stem cell rescue as upfront treatment offers a survival benefit.

Methods: Autologous stem cell rescue was provided in our center, from September 1997 to February 2007 to 54 patients. High dose chemotherapy was indicated to 32 patients in salvage setting after 2nd line of treatment (VeIP) and to 22 patients as upfront treatment after 1st line treatment (BEP). Median age was 29 years and tumor markers were elevated: HCG in 10 pts, AFP in 13pts.

Stem cell mobilization was performed after the 3rd cycle of VeIP or BEP in combination with G-CSF. The amount of CD34+ cell/kg b.w. was $2.0-13.4\times10^6$. High-dose conditioning regimen CARBOPEC (carboplatin 1,600−2,200 mg/m^2, etoposide 1,800 mg/m^2, cyclophosphamide 6,400 mg/m^2) was used. The treatment was well tolerated without transplant-related mortality.

Result: WHO criteria non-hematological toxicity was predominantly grade 2 to 3. Engraftment was rapid, recovery of hematopoiesis in neutrofils over 1.0×10^9/l and platelets over 50×10^9/l was reached an average on days +10 and +13 respectively. Additional post-transplant treatment for persistence, progression or relapse had 20 patients (9 pts had 2nd line treatment VEIP, 12 pts had 3rd line treatment with paclitaxel + gemcitabine and 5 pts had retroperitoneal lymphadenectomy).

The follow-up period ranging from 3 to 107 months, at present 40 (74%) patients are alive, 14 (26%) pts died. Median TTP of all pts is 10 months, median OS of all pts is 39 months. Median DFS of surviving pts is 38 months.

Conclusion: high-dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell rescue in patients with poor risk germ cell tumors is feasible and beneficial method of the individual treatment. High-dose chemotherapy as upfront treatment for poor prognosis germ cell tumors and as salvage treatment in good risk pts seems to be good possibility of the individual treatment.

Supported by Internal Grant Agency of The Czech Ministry of Health, Grant No.8442-3/2005

4531 POSTER

Prognostic significance of primary tumor morphology on progression-free survival (PFS) in patients (pts) with metastatic nonseminomatous germ cell tumors (NSGCT)

M. Fedianin[1], A. Tryakin[1], T. Zakharova[2], I. Fainstein[3], K. Figurin[4], B. Polckii[5], Y. Sergeev[3], A. Garin[1], S. Tjulandin[1]. *[1]N.N. Blokhin Russian Cancer Research Center, Department of clinical pharmacology and chemotherapy, Moscow, Russian Federation; [2]N.N. Blokhin Russian Cancer Research Center, Department of morphology, Moscow, Russian Federation; [3]N.N. Blokhin Russian Cancer Research Center, Department of surgical oncology, Moscow, Russian Federation; [4]N.N. Blokhin Russian Cancer Research Center, Department of urological oncology, Moscow, Russian Federation; [5]N.N. Blokhin Russian Cancer Research Center, Department of thoracic oncology, Moscow, Russian Federation*

Purpose: IGCCCG classification is currently used to determine prognosis of pts with metastatic germ cell tumors. One of the limitations of IGCCCG classification is the absence of data about histological subtypes of primary tumor. We studied the prognostic significance of histological subtypes in pts with metastatic NSGCT.

Patients and Methods: We analyzed data of 693 chemotherapy-naive pts with advanced NSGCT treated in our department from 1987 to 2005 with etoposide- and cisplatin-based regimens (EP, BEP, C-BOP-3BEP and T-BEP). Median follow-up time was 32 months (range 3–215); 181 (26%) pts relapsed. 35 of 250 (19.3%) pts, 51 of 257 (28.3%) pts and 95 of 186 (52.4%) pts from good, intermediate and poor prognostic groups relapsed, respectively. Multivariate Cox regression analysis was performed to determine independent factors, which influenced on progression-free survival (PFS) inside IGCCCG prognostic groups.

Results: Multivariate analysis revealed the following negative prognostic factors as independent: in the IGCCCG good prognostic group – mature and immature teratoma complex in primary tumor (hazard ratio [HR] 3.384; 95% CI 1.534−7.463), absence of embryonal cancer component (HR 2.136; 95% CI 1.251−3.649), number of metastatic sites (HR 2.806; 95% CI 1.487−5.296). In patients with the intermediate prognosis: presence of immature teratoma (HR 1.738; 95% CI 1.132−2.669). In IGCCCG poor prognostic group: presence of non-pulmonary visceral metastases (HR 1.45; 95% CI, 1.056−1.992).

Conclusion: In good and intermediate prognostic groups, morphology of NSGCT has an independent prognostic value. It should be taken in to account while defining the prognosis and choice of treatment.

4532 POSTER

Bone abnormalities in male germ-cell cancer survivors

P.M. Willemse[1], L. van Wulften[1], J. van Steijn[1], N.A.T. Hamdy[2], S. Osanto[1]. *[1]Leiden University Medical Center, Clinical Oncology, Leiden, The Netherlands; [2]Leiden University Medical Center, Endocrinology and Bone metabolism, Leiden, The Netherlands*

Background: Survival of men with testicular cancer is long due to successful therapeutic intervention, which usually includes orchidectomy. Therefore, we studied the prevalence of osteoporosis in a single center cohort of long term survivors of germ cell cancer.

Methods: In a cross-sectional study design, we studied 225 male patients with a mean age of 39.9 years (range 18.2−66.9), who were treated between 1977 and 2006 for germ cell cancer. 223 (99.1%) patients underwent an unilateral orchidectomy and in 2 (0.9%) patients no orchidectomy but retroperitoneal or mediastinal tumor biopsy was performed to confirm the diagnosis. 159 (70.7%) patients received cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy for metastases or primary extra-gonadal tumor at a mean age of 30.9 years (range 14.2−61.1). Between 2003 and 2007, bone mineral density (BMD) was measured at the lumbar spine and femoral neck by DXA and Z-scores calculated. Vertebral deformities were evaluated by a semi-quantitative measurement on lateral x-rays of the spine. Non-vertebral fractures were evaluated by questionnaire and confirmed by x-ray. All patients had normal total testosterone, estradiol, parathyroid hormone, 25(OH)-vitamin D and 1,25$(OH)_2$-vitamin D concentrations, evaluated by fasting blood samples.

Results: BMD was low in 73 (32.4%) patients; 59 (26.2%) patients had Z-scores between −1 and −2 SD, while fourteen (6.2%) had Z-scores below −2 SD. Vertebral deformities were present in 73 of 190 (38.4%) evaluated patients, twenty-five of whom also had low BMD. There was no relationship between vertebral deformities and either age, chemotherapy or testosterone/estradiol levels. No correlation was found between vertebral deformities and low BMD. Nine of 182 (4.9%) patients who responded to the questionnaire had non-vertebral fractures at a mean age of 39.1 years (range 21−51); 1−17 years after the initial diagnosis of testicular cancer.

Conclusions: More than one third of the eugonadal male survivors of germ cell cancer have vertebral abnormalities which are not related to age, chemotherapy or genital hormone concentrations. The underlying mechanism remains unknown. This high prevalence of bone abnormalities indicates that screening should be advocated in all germ cell cancer survivors.

4533 POSTER

Combination of gemcitabine and doxorubicin in sarcomatoid and/or rapidly progressive metastatic renal cell carcinoma (MRCC)

G. Roubaud, M.S. Dilhuydy, G. Pasticier, H. de Clermont, D. Smith, A. Ravaud. *Hôpital Saint André, Department of medical oncology and radiotherapy, urology, nuclear medicine, Bordeaux, France*

Background: Clinical presentation of MRCC could be rapidly aggressive especially when tumor exhibit sarcomatoid or Furhman's grade 4 profile. In 2004, Nanus et al. reported efficacy of the association of Gemcitabine (G) and Doxorubicin (D) in sarcomatoid or rapidly progressive MRCC (Cancer). In this retrospective study, we evaluated G+D in this setting.

Methods: All patients (pts) had MRCC, with sarcomatoid feature or a significant progression in previous 4 months. G: 1500 mg/m^2 and D: 50 mg/m^2 were given every 2 weeks with G-CSF support. Pts were evaluated bimonthly for toxicity using NCI/CTCAE scale and every 4 cycles for efficacy using RECIST criteria.

Results: From June 2003 to August 2005, 29 pts were treated. Five (17%), 19 (65%) and 4 (14%) pts had an ECOG performance status of 0, 1 and 2, respectively. Twenty-one pts (86%) had at least 2 metastatic sites. Sarcomatoid feature was predominant in 6 pts (20%) while 6 pts had papillary tumor. Clear-cell histology was pure in 17 pts (59%) and mixed in 5 pts, while Furhman's grade 4 was predominant. All pts had progressive MRCC in the last 4 months. Twenty-five pts had received a previous systemic therapy. A median of 4 courses of G+D was given. Only 4 pts (14%) had a dose reduction or a time delay for subsequent course. No grade 4 toxicity or drug-related death was reported. One pt had grade 3 vomiting and reversible grade 3 renal insufficiency. No febrile neutropenia was seen. One pt had a partial response (7 months), one pt had a mixed response and 14 pts had a stable disease for at least 4 months for 9 pts. No response was seen in sarcomatoid tumors. The median disease-free survival was 3.7 months, including 8 pts (28%) with a time to progression $\geqslant$6 months and median overall survival was 7.1 months including 6 pts (21%) leaving more than 12 months.

Conclusion: In this study, the combination of D+G in sarcomatoid and/or rapidly growing MRCC showed a lower response rate than previously reported (Nanus. Cancer 2004). Nevertheless, some patients